

APPLICATION NO.

10/035,214

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

53394.000548 3478

56679 7590 03/01/2006

GOSZ AND PARTNERS, LLP 450 BEDFORD STREET LEXINGTON, MA 02420

FILING DATE

01/04/2002

EXAMINER

ANDERSON, CATHARINE L

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3761

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Andrew Baker

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/035,214	BAKER, ANDREW			
Examiner	Art Unit			
C. Lynne Anderson	3761			

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION	N FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the s this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with time periods:	eplies: (1) an amendmer f Appeal (with appeal fec	nt, affidavit, or other evider e) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date	of the final rejection.	,	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	an SIX MONTHS from the r	mailing date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ON TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(		N THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on wh have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	n and the corresponding an ned statutory period for repl	nount of the fee. The appropri y originally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e	e)), to avoid dismissal of th	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pr			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consider	ration and/or search (see	e NOTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	6	II	46 - 1 <i>E</i>
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	rm for appeal by materia	illy reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corres	•	lly rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 an	3 33		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. Se		on-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) which will be rejected is provided to the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided		☐ will be entered and an €	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 10,11 and 34-59.			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9 and 12-19</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		AL 12	. 4 15
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suff was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Not entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overce showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and	me all rejections under	appeal and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ne status of the claims a	fter entry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does	s NOT place the applica	tion in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO	/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pa	per No(s)	
13.  Other:			
	TATYANA ZA SUPERVISORY PRII		
	(2/1) 000		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claim 1 includes limitations similar to those previously indicated as allowable, but not identical. The proposed amendment therefore require further consideration to determine whether they may place the claims in condition for allowance.